arXiv:1611.03638v2 [cond-mat.supr-con] 19 Nov 2016

Isotope effect on the transition temperature T_c in Fe-based superconductors: the current status

Rustem Khasanov[1,*]

[1] *Laboratory for Muon Spin Spectroscopy, Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland*

The results of the Fe isotope effect (Fe-IE) on the transition temperature T_c obtained up to date in various Fe-based high temperature superconductors are summarized and reanalyzed by following the approach developed in [Phys. Rev. B **82**, 212505 (2010)]. It is demonstrated that the very controversial results for Fe-IE on T_c are caused by small structural changes occurring simultaneously with the Fe isotope exchange. The Fe-IE exponent on T_c [$\alpha_{\rm Fe} = -(\Delta T_c/T_c)/(\Delta M/M)$, M is the isotope mass] needs to be decomposed into two components with the one related to the structural changes ($\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm str}$) and the genuine (intrinsic one, $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int}$). The validity of such decomposition is further confirmed by the fact that $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int}$ coincides with the Fe-IE exponent on the characteristic phonon frequencies $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm ph}$ as is reported in recent EXAFS and Raman experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

Historically, the isotope effect plays an important role in elucidating the origin of the pairing interaction leading to the occurrence of superconductivity. The discovery of the isotope effect on the superconducting transition temperature T_c in metallic Hg[1,2] in the year 1950 provided the key experimental evidence for phonon-mediated pairing and leads to the subsequent formulation of the BCS theory.

An involvement of the lattice degrees of freedom into the supercarrier formation is generally considered by measuring the isotope effect exponent on T_c:

$$\alpha = -\frac{\Delta T_c/T_c}{\Delta M/M}, \qquad (1)$$

(M is the isotope mass and ΔM is the mass difference) and by further comparing it with the universal value $\alpha_{\rm BCS} \equiv 0.5$ as is predicted within the framework of BCS theory of electron-phonon mediated superconductivity.

In conventional phonon-mediated superconductors like simple metals, alloys, *etc.* α, typically, ranges from 0.2 to 0.5, (see Ref. 3 and references therein). The only exceptions are Ru and Zr exhibiting zero isotope effect and PdH(D) with $\alpha_{\rm H(D)} = -0.25$.[4,5] The negative isotope effect of PdH(D) is explained, however, by the presence of strong lattice anharmonicty caused by the double-well potential in the proton (deuteron) bond distribution.[6] A similar finding exists in organic superconductors where the H(D) isotope effect changes sign as compared, *e.g.*, to ^{34}S, ^{13}C, and ^{15}N isotope replacements, (see Ref. 7 and references therein). Again, an unusually strong anharmonic lattice dynamics are attributed to this observation.[7,8] Recently the sine changed isotope effect was reported by Stucky *et al.*[9] for $n-$doped $SrTiO_3$ which supposed to be purely phonon-mediated superconductor. It was observed that the substitution of the natural ^{16}O atoms by the heavier isotope ^{18}O causes a giant (of the order of 50%) enhancement of T_c. Also the magnetic critical field H_{c2} is increased by a factor ~ 2. Such a strong impact on T_c and H_{c2}, with a sign opposite to conventional superconductors was assumed to be caused by strong coupling to the ferroelectric soft modes of $SrTiO_3$.

The cuprate high-temperature superconductors (HTS) are characterized by a vanishingly small but positive isotope effect exponent in optimally doped compounds which increases in a monotonic way upon decreasing doping.[10–24] For the optimally doped cuprate HTS the smallest value of the oxygen-isotope exponent $\alpha_{\rm O} \simeq 0.02$ was obtained for $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ and $Bi_2Sr_2Ca_2Cu_3O_{10+\delta}$, while it reaches $\alpha_{\rm O} \simeq 0.25$ for $Bi_2Sr_{1.6}La_{0.4}CuO_{6+\delta}$.[10–13,23,24] In addition, it was demonstrated that in underdoped materials $\alpha_{\rm O}$ exceeds substantially the BCS limit $\alpha_{\rm BCS} \equiv 0.5$.[11,12,14,24]

It is worth to emphasize here that the values of both, the oxygen and the copper isotope exponents in cuprate HTS are *always* positive. Similar tendencies, with the only few above mentioned exceptions, are also realized in a case of conventional phonon-mediated superconductors.

Since the discovery of high-temperature superconductivity in Fe-based compounds few experiments to study the isotope effect on T_c in this new class of materials were performed. The current statement of isotope effect studies on Fe-based HTS remains, however, rather contradicting.[25–30] Liu *et al.*[25] and Khasanov *et al.*[28] have found a *positive* Fe isotope effect (Fe-IE) exponent $\alpha_{\rm Fe}$ for $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ ($T_c \simeq 38$ K), $SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15}$ ($T_c \simeq 41$ K), and $FeSe_{1-x}$ ($T_c \simeq 8$ K) with the corresponding values $\alpha_{\rm Fe} = 0.34(3)$, $0.37(3)$, and $0.81(15)$, respectively. Note that $\alpha_{\rm Fe} = 0.81(15)$ for $FeSe_{1-x}$ exceeds substantially the universal BCS value $\alpha_{\rm BCS} \equiv 0.5$. In the other studies Shirage *et al.*[26,27] have reported a *negative* $\alpha_{\rm Fe} = -0.18(3)$ and $\alpha_{\rm Fe} = -0.024(15)$ for $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ ($T_c \simeq 38$ K) and $SmFeAsO_{1-y}$ ($T_c \simeq 54$ K), respectively. The negative Fe-IE exponents were also reported recently by Tsuge and coworkers for $FeSe_{0.35}Te_{0.65}$ ($T_c \simeq 15$ K, $\alpha_{\rm Fe} = -0.54$) and $Ca_{0.4}Na_{0.6}Fe_2As_2$ ($T_c \simeq 34$ K, $\alpha_{\rm Fe} = -0.19$).[29,30] Note that the sine changed isotope effect is unlikely to stem from different pairing mechanisms going to be realized in different Fe-based superconductors. Especially, in the case of $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$, when nominally *identical* samples exhibit once a positive[25] and next a negative isotope exponent.[26]

The main purpose of the present study is to show that the very controversial results for Fe-IE on T_c are caused by small structural changes occurring simultane-

ously with the Fe isotope exchange. We demonstrate that the Fe-IE exponent on T_c needs to be decomposed into the one related to the structural changes ($\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm str}$) and the genuine (intrinsic one, $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int}$) to result in:

$$\alpha_{\rm Fe} = \alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int} + \alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm str}. \quad (2)$$

The validity of such decomposition is further confirmed by the fact that $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int}$ coincides with the Fe-IE exponent on the characteristic phonon frequency $\omega_{\rm ph}$ as is observed in EXAFS and Raman experiments.[31,32] The value of $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int}$ was found to be the same for representatives of various families of Fe-based superconductors and it stays in the range of $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int} \sim 0.3 - 0.4$ in good agreement with the theory prediction of Bussmann-Holder *et al.*[33]

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we demonstrate the influence of the Fe isotope exchange on the crystal structure. As an example, $FeSe_{1-x}$ Fe-based HTS with $T_c \simeq 8$ K is considered. It is shown that the substitution of natural Fe (containing $\simeq 92\%$ of ^{56}Fe) by its lighter ^{54}Fe isotope leads not only to a shift of T_c, but affects also the structural parameters such as the lattice parameters a, b, and c, the lattice volume V, the distance between the Se atom and Fe plane, and the Se height $h_{\rm Se}$. Results presented in this section are adapted from Ref. 28. In Section III the currently available Fe isotope effect data on the superconducting transition temperature T_c for various Fe-based HTS were reanalyzed by separating the measured Fe-IE exponent $\alpha_{\rm Fe}$ into a structural and an intrinsic (unrelated to the structural changes) components. By taking structural corrections into account we infer that the value of the genuine Fe-IE exponent is close to $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int} \sim 0.3 - 0.4$. The results presented Section III are partially adapted from Ref. 34. The conclusions follow in Section IV.

II. Fe ISOTOPE EFFECT ON T_c AND THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF $FeSe_{1-x}$

In this section the ^{56}Fe/^{54}Fe isotope effects on the superconducting transition temperature and the crystal structure of the iron-chalcogenide superconductor $FeSe_{1-x}$ are described.

The preparation procedure for ^{56}Fe/^{54}Fe isotope submitted $FeSe_{1-x}$ powder samples is given in Ref. 28. The Fe-IE on the structural properties and the transition temperature T_c were studied in neutron powder diffraction (NPD) and magnetization experiments, respectively.

A. Fe isotope effect on the crystal structure of $FeSe_{1-x}$

The refined structural parameters at $T = 250$ K and 5 K obtained in neutron powder diffraction experiments are summarized in Table I. The results of NPD measurements imply that the substitution of ^{56}Fe by ^{54}Fe leads to a small, but detectable *enhancement* of the lattice along the crystallographic a and b directions and a *compression* of it along the c-axis, resulting in a change of the shape of the Fe_4Se pyramid (see Fig. 1 a). As is shown in Fig. 1 b, for temperatures below 100 K the Se atom is located closer to the Fe plane in $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$ than in $^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$.

TABLE I: Structural parameters of $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$ and $^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$ at $T = 250$ and 5 K as obtained from the refitment of neutron powder diffraction (NPD) data (after Ref. 28).

	$T = 250$ K		$T = 5$ K	
	$^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$	$^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$	$^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$	$^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$
Space group	$P4/nmm$		$Cmma$	
Se content	0.975(5)	0.975(4)	fixed to 0.975	
a(Å)	3.77036(3)	3.76988(5)	5.33523(10)	5.33426(10)
b(Å)			5.30984(10)	5.30933(10)
c(Å)	5.51619(9)	5.51637(9)	5.48683(9)	5.48787(9)
Volume (Å^3)	156.883(3)	156.797(3)	155.438(5)	155.424(5)
z−Se	0.2319(2)	0.2326(0.3)	0.2321(2)	0.2322(3)

It is important to note that the observed Fe-IE's on the lattice parameters are intrinsic and not just a consequence of slightly different samples. As shown in Ref. 35, various samples of $^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$ with $1 - x \simeq 0.98$ and $T_c \simeq 8.2$ K indeed exhibit the same lattice parameters within the experimental error.

B. Fe isotope effect on T_c of $FeSe_{1-x}$

The Fe-IE on the transition temperature T_c was studied by means of magnetization experiments. In order to avoid artifacts and systematic errors in the determination of the isotope shift of T_c a *statistical* study were performed: *i.e.* the series of $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$/$^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$ samples synthesized exactly at the same way (the same thermal history, the same amount of Se in the initial composition) were investigated.

The magnetization experiments were conducted for six $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$ and seven $^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$ samples, respectively. Figure 2 shows an example of zero-field cooled (ZFC) magnetization curves for a pair of $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$/$^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$ samples (M_{norm} was obtained after subtracting the small paramagnetic offset M_{magn} measured at $T > T_c$ and further normalization of the obtained curve to the value at $T = 2$ K, see Fig. 1 in Ref. 35 for details). The magnetization curve for $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$ is shifted almost parallel to higher temperature, implying that T_c of $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$ is higher than that of $^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$. The resulting transition temperatures determined from the intercept of the linearly extrapolated $M_{norm}(T)$ curves with the $M = 0$ line for all samples investigated are summarized in the inset of Fig. 2. The T_c's for both sets of $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$/$^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$ samples fall into two distinct regions: $8.39 \leq {}^{54}T_c \leq 8.48$ K and $8.15 \leq {}^{56}T_c \leq 8.31$ K, respectively. The corresponding mean values are: ${}^{54}\overline{T}_c = 8.43(3)$ K and ${}^{56}\overline{T}_c = 8.21(4)$ K. Note, that one out of the seven



FIG. 1: (a) The transformation of the Fe_4Se pyramid caused by $^{56}Fe/^{54}Fe$ isotope substitution in $FeSe_{1-x}$. The arrows show the direction of atom displacements. (b) The temperature dependence of the distance between the Se atom and Fe plane h_{Se}. The straight lines correspond to averaging the data below 100 K. After Ref. 28.



FIG. 2: The normalized zero-field cooling magnetization curves $M_{norm}(T)$ for one pair of $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}$ and $^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$ samples. The transition temperature T_c is determined as the intersection of the linearly extrapolated $M_{nomr}(T)$ curve in the vicinity of T_c with the $M = 0$ line. The inset shows the superconducting transition temperature T_c as a function of Fe atomic mass for $^{54}FeSe_{1-x}/^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$ samples studied in the present work. The open symbols correspond to the samples studied by NPD experiments. The T_c's fall into the regions marked by the colored ovals with the corresponding mean values $^{54}\overline{T}_c = 8.43(3)$ K and $^{56}\overline{T}_c = 8.21(4)$ K. After Ref. 28.

$^{56}FeSe_{1-x}$ samples had $T_c \simeq 8.44$ K which is by more than 5 standard deviations above the average calculated for the rest of the six samples. We have no explanation for this discrepancy, but decided to show this point for completeness of the data collected.

The value of the Fe-IE exponent α_{Fe} determined from the data presented in Fig. 2 by means of Eq. 1 leads to $\alpha_{Fe} = 0.81(15)$.

C. The structural and the intrinsic contributions to the Fe-IE in $FeSe_{1-x}$

The Fe-IE exponent $\alpha_{Fe} = 0.81(15)$ obtained for $FeSe_{1-x}$ iron-chalcogenide superconductor is larger than the BCS value $\alpha_{BCS} \equiv 0.5$ as well as more than twice as large as $\alpha_{Fe} \simeq 0.35$ reported for $SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15}$ and $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$.[25] We want to emphasize, however, that our structural refined NPD data suggest that part of the large Fe-IE exponent $\alpha_{Fe} = 0.81(15)$ may result from the tiny structural changes occurring due to $^{54}Fe/^{56}Fe$ substitution.

An estimate of the structural contribution to the Fe-IE exponent in $FeSe_{1-x}$ can be made based on the observed proportionality between T_c and the crystal lattice constant a for the 11 family $FeSe_{1-y}Te_y$.[36,37] Assuming the relation T_c vs. a also holds for $FeSe_{1-x}$ one obtains from the data presented in Ref. 37 for $y \leq 0.5$ the relation $\Delta T_c^a/(\Delta a/a) \approx 6$ K/%. With $(\Delta a+\Delta b)/(a+b) = 0.0195(14)\%$ (see Table I and Ref. 28) this results in a *structural* shift of T_c of $\Delta T_c^{str} \approx 0.1$ K. Taking this correction into account yields a rough estimate of the structural and the intrinsic Fe-IE exponents of $\alpha_{Fe}^{str} \simeq \alpha_{Fe}^{int} \simeq 0.4$. Note that the value of $\alpha_{Fe}^{int} \simeq 0.4$ is comparable with $\alpha_{Fe} \simeq 0.35$ reported for $SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15}$ and $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$.[25]

We want to stress, that the "structural" contribution into the Fe-IE exponent in $FeSe_{1-x}$ consists of both: a positive and negative parts. Indeed, in $FeSe_{1-x}$ a decrease of the Se height caused by compression of the Fe_4Se pyramid (see Fig. 1 b) leads to an increase of T_c by $\Delta T_c^{h_{Se}}/(\Delta h_{Se}/h_{Se}) \simeq 3.4$ K/%[38,39]. In contrast, an increase of the Se(Te)-Fe-Se(Te) angle in the $FeSe_{1-y}Te_y$ family (angle β in our notation, see Fig. 1 a) results for $y \leq 0.5$ in a decrease of T_c by $\Delta T_c^{\beta}/(\Delta\beta/\beta) \simeq 2.9$ K/%[36]. Based on the structural data presented in Fig. 1 and Table I one obtains $\Delta h_{Se}/h_{Se} = 0.22(8)\%$ and $\Delta\beta/\beta = -0.13(4)\%$, leading to $\Delta T_c^{h_{Se}} = 0.7(3)$ K and $\Delta T_c^{\beta} = -0.4(2)$ K (in this estimate the values of h_{Se} were averaged over the temperature regions denoted as solid lines in Fig. 1 b). Bearing in mind that all Fe-based HTS are similarly sensitive to structural changes as $FeSe_{1-x}$ (see, *e.g.*, Refs. 36–38,40) we conclude that

depending on the particular structural changes caused by the Fe isotope substitution, the shift of the transition temperature T_c could be either *positive*, *negative* or may stay at *zero*. Which particular case is going to be realized would require precise studies of the structural properties for each individual isotope experiment.

III. GENUINE (INTRINSIC) AND STRUCTURAL ISOTOPE EFFECTS IN Fe-BASED HTS

The observation of tiny but not negligible isotope effect on the crystal structure observed in $FeSe_{1-x}$ Fe-based HTS reported in the previous section requires to separate the Fe-IE exponent on T_c into the genuine (intrinsic) and the structural components (see Eq. 2). In fact, the superconductivity in all Fe-based HTS is intimately related to small structural changes as reported in various works. As an example the strong nonlinear dependence of the superconducting transition temperature on the anion atom height ($h_{\rm An}$, An=As, P, or Se) with a sharp maximum of T_c at $h_{\rm An} \simeq 1.38$ Å, was reported by Mizuguchi *et al.*[38] (see Fig. 3).



FIG. 3: Dependence of the superconducting transition temperature T_c on the height of the anion atom ($h_{\rm An}$, An=As, Se, P) for varios families of Fe-based HTS, after Mizuguchi *et al.*[38] The closed symbols represent the samples which are relevant for the present study. The lines are guided for the eye. The red(blue) area represents part of T_c vs. $h_{\rm An}$ diagram where T_c increases(decreases) with increasing $h_{\rm An}$.

A. Summary of Fe-IE measurements on the transition temperature T_c

The results of Fe-IE measurements of T_c for various Fe-based HTS's obtained up to date are summarized in Table II and Fig. 4 a. In order to account for the structural changes caused by the Fe isotope exchange we have also included in Table II the c-axis lattice constants as they measured for the pairs of Fe isotope substituted samples. The choice of the c-axis lattice constant as the relevant quantity in deriving the structural isotope effect might appear to be rather arbitrary since T_c is influenced by all structural details, namely tetrahedral angle, a-axis lattice constant, internal bond lengths, *etc.* However, the c-axis lattice constant provides a very sensitive probe since its compression(expansion) is directly accompanied by the corresponding variation of the distance from the Fe-planes to the above(below) lying anions which, in turn, is a well characterized property for many Fe-based compounds.[38] A survey of the literature shows that the proportionality between the anion atom height and the c-axis lattice constant indeed holds for various families of Fe-based HTS considered in the present study.[39,41,42]

From Table II it follows that in $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ and $SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15}$ studied in Ref. 25 the c-axis constants are the same within the experimental error for both isotopically substituted sets of the samples. In $FeSe_{1-x}$[28] the c-axis constant is larger, while in $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$[26] it is smaller for the sample with the heavier Fe isotope. In $SmFeAsO_{1-y}$, studied by Shirage *et al.*,[27] both c-axis lattice constants seem to coincide within the experimental resolution. However, since the difference between them is 1.5 times larger than one standard deviation, it is conceivable to attribute an increase in the c-axis lattice constant in $SmFeAsO_{1-y}$ with the heavier Fe isotope. It should also be noted here that the accuracy in lattice constants determination for $Ca_{0.4}Na_{0.6}Fe_2As_2$ from Ref. 30 is from one to two orders of magnitude worse than it is reported for other isotope substituted samples, and no structural data were reported for $FeSe_{0.35}Te_{0.65}$ in Ref. 29.

The use of the empirical T_c vs. $h_{\rm An}$ relation from Ref. 38 combined with the intrinsic relation of the proportionality between the c-axis constant and the anion atom height ($\Delta c \propto \Delta h_{\rm An}$, see Refs. 39,41,42) enables us to determine the sign of the structurally related shift of T_c induced by isotopic exchange. By following Mizuguchi *et al.*[38] (see also Fig. 3) the sign of $(\Delta T_c/T_c)/(\Delta h_{\rm An}/h_{\rm An})$ is positive for SmFeAsO(F) as well as for various Fe-based HTS belonging to ReFeAsO(F) family (Re=Nd, Ce, La) and negative for $(BaK)Fe_2As_2$, $(CaNa)Fe_2As_2$, Fe(SeTe), and $FeSe_{1-x}$. Consequently the change of the c-axis constant caused by Fe isotope substitution as presented in Table II results in an additional structurally related shift of T_c being positive for $FeSe_{1-x}$,[28] negative for $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ and $SmFeAsO_{1-y}$,[26,27] and close to 0 for $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ and $SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15}$.[25] Note that for the lack of pure(absent) structural data, such estimate is not possible to be made for $Ca_{0.4}Na_{0.6}Fe_2As_2$ and $FeSe_{0.35}Te_{0.65}$ from Ref. 29,30.

We want to emphasize that the above mentioned discussion allows only to determine the sign of the structurally related isotope effect but not its absolute value. The reasons are the following. (i) The relative change of the c-axis constant is proportional, but not identical to the one of $h_{\rm An}$. As an example, ^{56}Fe to ^{54}Fe isotope substitution in $FeSe_{1-x}$ leads to an increase of the c-axis

TABLE II: Summary of Fe isotope effect studies for $SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15}$ and $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ Ref. 25, $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ Ref. 26, $SmFeAsO_{1-x}$ Ref. 27, $FeSe_{1-x}$ Ref. 28, $FeSe_{0.35}Te_{0.65}$ Ref. 29 and $Ca_{0.4}Na_{0.6}Fe_2As_2$ Ref. 30. The parameters are: T_c – superconducting transition tempreature for the sample with the natural Fe isotope (^{nat}Fe); α_{Fe} – Fe isotope effect exponent; c – the c-axis lattice constant for the sample with the lighter (^{light}Fe) and the heavier (^{heavy}Fe) Fe isotope; $\Delta c/c$ – the relative shift of the c-axis constant caused by the Fe isotope substitution; α_{Fe}^{str} and α_{Fe}^{int} – the structural and the intrinsic contributions to α_{Fe}.

Sample	Reference	$T_c(^{nat}Fe)$ (K)	α_{Fe}	c-axis(^{light}Fe) (Å)	c-axis(^{heavy}Fe) (Å)	$\Delta c/c$	α_{Fe}^{str}	α_{Fe}^{int}
$Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$	Ref. 25	37.30(2)	0.37(3)	13.289(7)	13.288(7)	~ 0	~ 0	~ 0.35
$Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$	Ref. 26	37.78(2)	−0.18(3)	13.313(1)	13.310(1)	< 0	~ -0.5	–
$SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15}$	Ref. 25	41.40(2)	0.34(3)	8.490(2)	8.491(2)	~ 0	~ 0	~ 0.35
$SmFeAsO_{1-y}$	Ref. 27	54.02(13)	−0.024(15)	8.4428(8)	8.4440(8)	$\gtrsim 0$	~ -0.35	–
$FeSe_{1-x}$	Ref. 28	8.21(4)	0.81(15)	5.48683(9)	5.48787(9)	> 0	$\simeq 0.4$	$\simeq 0.4$
$FeSe_{0.35}Te_{0.65}$	Ref. 29	13.10(5)	-0.54	–	–	–	–	–
$Ca_{0.4}Na_{0.6}Fe_2As_2$	Ref. 30	34.3(2)	-0.19	12.19	12.19	–	–	–

constant by approximately 0.02%, while the change of the Se height amounts to ten times higher value $\simeq 0.22\%$ (see Table I, Fig. 1 b, and Ref. 28). (ii) The height of the anion atom is clearly not the only parameter which is crucial for T_c of Fe-based HTS as already mentioned above.

The analysis of the structural data together with the dependence of T_c on the a-axis lattice constant in $FeSe_{1-x}$, as is presented in Section II, was allowing to extract the "structural" Fe isotope effect exponent $\alpha_{Fe}^{str} \simeq 0.4$ for ^{56}Fe to ^{54}Fe substituted $FeSe_{1-x}$ samples. The absence of precise structural data for the samples studied in Refs. 25–27,29,30 complicates their analysis. However, a zero, within the experimental accuracy, Fe isotope shift of the c-axis lattice constant for $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ as reported by Liu *et al.*[25] is a clear indication that no structural effect is present for this particular set of the samples. Consequently, the negative isotope effect exponent $\alpha_{Fe} \simeq -0.18$ obtained for nominally identically doped $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ by Shirage *et al.*[26] stems from summing both effects, *i.e.*, $-0.18(\alpha_{Fe}) = 0.35(\alpha_{Fe}^{int}) - 0.52(\alpha_{Fe}^{str})$, see Eq. (2). The similar analysis by comparing SmFeAsO(F) Fe-IE exponents from Refs. 25 and 27 results in $\alpha_{Fe}^{str} \simeq -0.35$ for $SmFeAsO_{1-y}$ from Ref. 27. It is worth to note, however, that the SmFeAsO(F) samples studied in Refs. 25 and 27 have different doping levels (different T_c's, see Table II and Fig. 4 a).

B. The genuine Fe-IE exponent via phonon frequency measurements

The BCS expression for the superconducting transition temperature T_c relates it to the characteristic phonon frequency ω_{ph} and the coupling constant λ via:[43]

$$T_c \propto \omega_{ph} \exp(-1/\lambda). \quad (3)$$

The consequences of this equation are two fold. First of all, since the coupling constant λ is independent of the ion mass M (see *e.g.* Ref. 44) and the characteristic phonon frequency ω_{ph} is proportional to $1/\sqrt{M}$, as a frequency of any harmonic oscillator, α_{BCS} becomes equal exactly to 0.5. Note that the Coulomb repulsion and the anharmonicity of phonons, which are not considered in Eq. 3, would lead to smaller α values.[44] Second, due to direct proportionality between T_c and ω_{ph} the isotope exponents on both these quantities are equal to the each other:

$$\alpha = -\frac{\Delta T_c/T_c}{\Delta M/M} \equiv -\frac{\Delta \omega_{ph}/\omega_{ph}}{\Delta M/M}. \quad (4)$$

Currently we are aware of two experimental works where the Fe-IE on ω_{ph} was studied by means of EXAFS and Raman techniques on $(BaK)Fe_2As_2$, $SmFeAsO_{0.65}$, and $SmFeAs_{0.77}H_{0.12}$ Fe-based HTS.[31,32] The corresponding α_{Fe}^{ph}'s are shown in Fig. 4 b together with presently obtained α_{Fe}^{int}'s (see Section III A and Table II). Remarkably enough both sets of Fe-IE exponents stay quite close to the each other with $\alpha_{Fe}^{int} \simeq \alpha_{Fe}^{ph} \simeq 0.35 \pm 0.07$.

C. Isotope effect within the multiple gap scenario of Fe-based HTS

Bussmann-Holder *et al.*[33] investigated a multiple gap scenario of superconductivity in Fe-based HTS with the aim to search for possible sources of the isotope effect on T_c. Typical phonon-mediated scenarios were contrasted to polaronic effects and found to have very different impacts on the isotope effect. While phonon-mediated superconductivity slightly suppresses the isotope effect as compared to the BCS value $\alpha_{BCS} \equiv 0.5$, polaronic effects can largely enhance it. The scenario of electron-phonon mediated superconductivity within the dominant gap channel predicts a T_c independent isotope effect with the α value being slightly smaller than 0.5. This agrees rather well with that observed for $FeSe_{1-x}$,[28] $(BaK)Fe_2As_2$,[25,26,31] $SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15}$,[25] $SmFeAsO_{0.65}$,[32] and $SmFeAs_{0.77}H_{0.12}$.[32] Indeed, for



FIG. 4: (a) Fe isotope effect exponent $\alpha_{\rm Fe}$ as a function of the superconducting transition temperature T_c for the samples considered in the present study: $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ and $SmFeAsO_{0.85}F_{0.15}$ Ref. 25, $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe_2As_2$ Ref. 26, $SmFeAsO_{1-x}$ Ref. 27, $FeSe_{1-x}$ Ref. 28, $FeSe_{0.35}Te_{0.65}$ Ref. 29 and $Ca_{0.4}Na_{0.6}Fe_2As_2$ Ref. 30. $\alpha_{\rm BCS} \equiv 0.5$ denotes the BCS value for electron-phonon mediated superconductivity. (b) The "intrinsic" $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int}$ (red circles) and the phonon $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm ph}$ (blue circles) Fe isotope effect exponents as they obtained in the present study and the EXAFS[31] and Raman[32] experiments, respectively. The grey area denotes the region of $0.3 \leq \alpha \leq 0.4$. See text for details.

these particular samples, which are belong to 3 different families of Fe-based HTS and have T_c's ranging from 8 to 54 K, the "intrinsic" Fe isotope exponent is almost constant with $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int} \sim 0.3 - 0.4$, see Table II and Fig. 4 b.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of the present study was two-fold. First, by presenting results obtained on $FeSe_{1-x}$ iron-chalcogenide superconductor it was demonstrated that the tiny changes of the structural parameters caused by Fe isotope substitution are clearly contributing to the resulting Fe-IE exponent on the transition temperature T_c. Depending on the particular changes caused by the Fe isotope substitution, the "structurally" related shift of the transition temperature T_c could be either positive, negative or stays at zero. Such effects may help to clarify or even be the origin of the previously reported controversial results.[25–30]

Second, the currently available Fe isotope effect data on the superconducting transition temperature T_c for various Fe-based HTS were analyzed by separating the measured Fe-IE exponent $\alpha_{\rm Fe}$ into a structural ($\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm str}$) and an intrinsic (unrelated to the structural changes, $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int}$) components. The validity of decomposition the Fe-IE exponent $\alpha_{\rm Fe}$ was further confirmed by the fact that $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int}$ almost coincides with the Fe-IE exponent on the characteristic phonon frequencies as observed in recent EXAFS and Raman experiments.[31,32] We infer that the value of the genuine Fe-IE exponent is close to $\alpha_{\rm Fe}^{\rm int} \sim 0.3 - 0.4$ for compounds having T_c ranging from 8 to 54 K and belonging to at least three different families of Fe-based HTS.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges the broad and successful collaboration with people without whom this work could not be performed: Markus Bendele, Hugo Keller, Annette Bussmann-Holder, Kazimierz Conder, Ekaterina Pomjakushina, and Volodya Pomjakushin. The special thank goes to Karl Alex Müller and Hugo Keller who were initiated my interest to the isotope effect studies.

* Corresponding author: rustem.khasanov@psi.ch

[1] E. Maxwell, Phys. Rev. **78** 477 (1950).
[2] C.A. Reynolds, B. Serin, W.H. Wright, and L.B. Nesbitt, Phys. Rev. **78** 487 (1950).
[3] C.P. Poole, *Handbook of Superconductivy*, Academic press, 24-28 Oval Road, London, (2000).
[4] W. Buckel and B. Strizker, Phys. Letters **43A**, 403 (1973).
[5] J.E. Schriber and C.J.M. Northrup, Jr., Phys. Rev. B **10**, 3818 (1974).
[6] M. Yussouff, B.K. Rao, and P. Jena, Sol. State. Commun. **94**, 549 (1995).
[7] J.A. Schlueter, A.M. Kini, B.H. Ward, U. Geiser, H.H. Wang, J. Mohtasham, R.W. Winter and G.L. Gard, Physica C **351**, 261 (2001).
[8] M.H. Whangbo, J.M. Willimas, A.J. Schultz, T.J. Emge, and M.A. Beno, J. Am. Chem.Soc., **109**, 90 (1997).
[9] A. Stucky, G. Scheerer, Z. Ren, D. Jaccard, J.-M. Poumirol, C. Barreteau, E. Giannini, D. van der Marel, arXiv:1610.10045.
[10] B. Batlogg, G. Kourouklis, W. Weber, R.J. Cava, A. Jayaraman, A.E. White, K.T. Short, L.W. Rupp, and E.A. Rietman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 912 (1987).
[11] J.P. Franck, J. Jung, M.A-K. Mohamed, S. Gygax, and G.I. Sproule, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 5318 (1991).
[12] J.P. Franck, in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors IV*, edited by D. M. Ginsberg (World Sci-

entific, Singapore, 1994), pp. 189–293.
[13] D. Zech, H. Keller, K. Conder, E. Kaldis, E. Liarokapis, N. Poulakis, and K.A. Müller, Nature (London) **371**, 681 (1994).
[14] G.-M. Zhao, H. Keller, and K. Conder, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, R569 (2001).
[15] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, K. Conder, E. Morenzoni, I.M. Savić, and H. Keller, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15**, L17 (2003).
[16] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, E. Morenzoni, M. Angst, K. Conder, I. M. Savić, D. Lampakis, E. Liarokapis, A. Tatsi, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 220506(R) (2003).
[17] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, E. Morenzoni, K. Conder, I.M. Savić, and H. Keller, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **16**, S4439 (2004).
[18] R. Khasanov, D. G. Eshchenko, H. Luetkens, E. Morenzoni, T. Prokscha, A. Suter, N. Garifianov, M. Mali, J. Roos, K. Conder, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 057602 (2004).
[19] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, K. Conder, E. Morenzoni, I. M. Savić, J. Karpinski, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 064504 (2006).
[20] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, D. Di Castro, D.G. Eshchenko, I.M. Savić, K. Conder, E. Pomjakushina, J. Karpinski, S. Kazakov, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 060505(R) (2007).
[21] R. Khasanov, S. Strässle, K. Conder, E. Pomjakushina, A. Bussmann-Holder, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 104530 (2008).
[22] J.L. Tallon, R.S. Islam, J. Storey, G.V.M. Williams, and J.R. Cooper, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 237002 (2005).
[23] X.-J. Chen, B. Liang, C. Ulrich, C.-T. Lin, V.V. Struzhkin, Z. Wu, R.J. Hemley, H.-k. Mao, and H.-Q. Lin, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 140502 (2007).
[24] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, D. Di Castro, E. Morenzoni, A. Maisuradze, I.M. Savić, K. Conder, E. Pomjakushina, A. Bussmann-Holder, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 077001 (2008).
[25] R.H. Liu, T. Wu, G. Wu, H. Chen, X.F. Wang, Y.L. Xie, J.J. Yin, Y.J. Yan, Q.J. Li, B.C. Shi, W.S. Chu, Z.Y. Wu, and X.H. Chen, Nature **459**, 64 (2009).
[26] P.M. Shirage, K. Kihou, K. Miyazawa, C.-H. Lee, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, T. Yanagisawa, Y. Tanaka, and A. Iyo, Phys. Rev. Lett **103**, 257003 (2009).
[27] P.M. Shirage, K. Miyazawa, K. Kihou, H. Kito, Y. Yoshida, Y. Tanaka, H. Eisaki, and A. Iyo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 037004 (2010).
[28] R. Khasanov, M. Bendele, K. Conder, H. Keller, E. Pomjakushina, and V. Pomjakushin, New J. Phys. **12**, 073024 (2010).
[29] Y. Tsuge, A. Iyo, Y. Tanaka, H. Eisaki, and T. Nishio, Physics Procedia **36**, 731 (2012).
[30] Y. Tsuge, T. Nishio, A. Iyo, Y. Tanaka, and H. Eisaki, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, **507**, 012037 (2014).
[31] W. Chu, J. Cheng, S. Chu, T. Hu, A. Marcelli, X. Chen, and Z. Wu, Scientific Reports **3**, 1750 (2013).
[32] B. Singh, P.M. Shirage, A. Iyo, and P. Kumar, AIP Advances **6**, 105310 (2016).
[33] A. Bussmann-Holder, A. Simon, H. Keller, and A.R. Bishop, J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. **24**,1099 (2011).
[34] R. Khasanov, M. Bendele, A. Bussmann-Holder, H. Keller, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 212505 (2010).
[35] E. Pomjakushina, K. Conder, V. Pomjakushin, M. Bendele, and R. Khasanov, Phys. Rev. B **80** 024517 (2009).
[36] K. Horigane, H. Hiraka, and K. Ohoyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78** 074718 (2009).
[37] Y. Mizuguchi, F. Tomioka, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi and Y. Takano, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78** 074712 (2009).
[38] Y. Mizuguchi, Y. Hara, K. Deguchi, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi, K. Takeda, H. Kotegawa, H. Tou, and Y. Takano, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **23** 054013 (2010).
[39] S. Margadonna, Y. Takabayashi, M.T. McDonald, M. Brunelli, G. Wu, R.H. Liu, X.H. Chen, and K. Prassides, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 014503 (2009).
[40] J. Zhao, Q. Huang, C. de la Cruz, S. Li, J.W. Lynn, Y. Chen, M.A. Green, G.F. Chen, G. Li, Z. Li, J.L. Luo, N.L. Wang, and P. Dai, Nature Materials **7**, 953 (2008).
[41] M. Rotter, M. Pangerl, M. Tegel, and D. Johrendt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **47**, 7949 (2008).
[42] T.M. McQueen, Q. Huang, V. Ksenofontov, C. Felser, Q. Xu, H.W. Zandbergen, Y.S. Hor, J. Allred, A.J. Williams, D. Qu, J. Checkelsky, N.P. Ong, and R.J. Cava, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 014522 (2009).
[43] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity*, Krieger Publishing company, Malabar, Florida, 1975.
[44] A.S. Alexandrov, *Theory of Superconductivity: From Weak to Strong Coupling*, Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, 2003.